Fundamental tone estimates for elliptic operators in divergence form and geometric applications

G. Pacelli Bessa L. P. Jorge B. Pessoa Lima J. Fábio Montenegro February 1, 2008

Abstract

We establish a method for giving lower bounds for the fundamental tone of elliptic operators in divergence form in terms of the divergence of vector fields. We then apply this method to the L_r operator associated to immersed hypersurfaces with locally bounded (r+1)-th mean curvature H_{r+1} of the space forms $\mathbb{N}^{n+1}(c)$ of curvature c. As a corollary we give lower bounds for the extrinsic radius of closed hypersurfaces of $\mathbb{N}^{n+1}(c)$ with $H_{r+1} > 0$ in terms of the r-th and (r+1)-th mean curvatures. Finally we observe that bounds for the Laplace eigenvalues essentially bound the eigenvalues of a self-adjoint elliptic differential operator in divergence form. This allows us to show that Cheeger's constant gives a lower bounds for the first nonzero L_r -eigenvalue of a closed hypersurface of $\mathbb{N}^{n+1}(c)$.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000): 58C40, 53C42

Key words: Fundamental tone, closed and Dirichlet eigenvalue problems, L_r operator, r-th mean curvature, extrinsic radius, Cheeger's constant.

1 Introduction

Let Ω be a domain in a smooth Riemannian manifold M and let $\Phi: \Omega \to \operatorname{End}(T\Omega)$ be a smooth symmetric and positive definite section of the bundle of all endomorphisms of $T\Omega$. Each such section Φ is associated to a second order self-adjoint elliptic operator $L_{\Phi}(f) = \operatorname{div}(\Phi \operatorname{grad} f)$, $f \in C^2(\Omega)$ so that when Φ is the identity section then $L_{\Phi} = \Delta$, the Laplace operator. The L_{Φ} -fundamental tone of Ω is defined by

$$\lambda^{L_{\Phi}}(\Omega) = \inf \left\{ \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\Phi^{1/2} \operatorname{grad} f|^2}{\int_{\Omega} f^2} ; f \in C_0^2(\Omega) \setminus \{0\} \right\}. \tag{1}$$

If Ω is bounded with smooth boundary $\partial \Omega \neq \emptyset$, the L_{Φ} -fundamental tone of Ω coincides with the first eigenvalue $\lambda_1^{L_{\Phi}}(\Omega)$ of the Dirichlet eigenvalue problem $L_{\Phi} u + \lambda u = 0$ on Ω , with $u|\partial\Omega = 0$, $u \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C^0(\overline{\Omega}) \setminus \{0\}$. If Ω is bounded with $\partial\Omega = \emptyset$ then $\lambda^{L_{\Phi}}(\Omega) = 0$. A basic

problem is what lower and upper bounds for the fundamental tone of a given domain Ω in a smooth Riemannian manifold can be obtained in terms of Riemannian invariants of Ω . In the first part of this paper we show that the method for giving lower bounds for the \triangle -fundamental tone established in [7] can be extended for self-adjoint elliptic operators L_{Φ} . The lower bounds for the L_{Φ} -fundamental tone of a domain Ω are given in terms of the divergence of vector fields. By carefully choosing a test vector field, we can obtain lower bounds for the L_{Φ} -fundamental tone in terms of geometric invariants. This is done in Theorem (2.1). We consider an immersed hypersurface M into the (n+1)-dimensional simply connected space form $\mathbb{N}^{n+1}(c)$ of constant sectional curvature $c \in \{1, 0, -1\}$ with locally bounded (r + 1)-th mean curvature and such that a certain differential operator L_r , $r \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n\}$ is elliptic, see [22]. Then we give lower bounds for the L_r -fundamental tone of domains $\Omega \subset \varphi^{-1}(B_{\mathbb{N}^{n+1}(c)}(p,R))$ in terms of the r-th and (r+1)-th mean curvatures H_r and H_{r+1} . This is done in Theorem (3.2). We then derive from this estimates three geometric corollaries (3.4, 3.5, 3.8) that should be viewed as an extension of Theorem 1 of [16]. There are related results due to Fontenele-Silva [12]. To finish the first part of the paper we consider immersed hypersurfaces M into $\mathbb{N}^{n+1}(c)$ such that the operators L_r and L_s , $0 \le r, s \le n$ are elliptic and we compare the L_r and L_s fundamental tones $\lambda^{L_r}(\Omega)$, $\lambda^{L_s}(\Omega)$ of domains $\Omega \subset M \subset \mathbb{N}^{n+1}(c)$. In the second part of the paper we make an observation (Theorem 3.11) on the first nonzero eigenvalues of closed hypersurfaces. It follows that in order to get bounds for the eigenvalues of a self-adjoint elliptic differential operator L_{Φ} we essentially need bounds for the Laplace operator eigenvalues. This allows us to use Cheeger's constant to give lower bounds for the first nonzero L_r -eigenvalue of a closed hypersurface of $\mathbb{N}^{n+1}(c)$.

2 L_{Φ} -fundamental tone estimates

Our main estimate is the following method for giving lower bounds for L_{Φ} -fundamental tone of arbitrary domains of Riemannian manifolds. It extends the version of Barta's theorem [5] proved by Cheng-Yau in [11]. It is the same proof (with proper modifications) of a generalization of Barta's theorem proved in [7].

Theorem 2.1 Let Ω be a domain in a Riemannian manifold M and let $\Phi: \Omega \to \operatorname{End}(T\Omega)$ be a smooth symmetric and positive definite section of $T\Omega$. Then the L_{Φ} -fundamental tone of Ω has the following lower bound

$$\lambda^{L_{\Phi}}(\Omega) \ge \sup_{\mathcal{X}(\Omega)} \inf_{\Omega} \left[\operatorname{div} \left(\Phi X \right) - |\Phi^{1/2} X|^2 \right]. \tag{2}$$

If Ω is bounded and with smooth boundary $\partial \Omega \neq \emptyset$ then we have equality in (2).

$$\lambda^{L_{\Phi}}(\Omega) = \sup_{\mathcal{X}(\Omega)} \inf_{\Omega} \left[\operatorname{div} \left(\Phi X \right) - |\Phi^{1/2} X|^2 \right]. \tag{3}$$

Where $\mathcal{X}(\Omega)$ is the set of all smooth vector fields on Ω .

3 Geometric applications

Let us consider the linearized operator L_r of the (r+1)-mean curvature $H_{r+1} = S_{r+1}/(\frac{n}{r+1})$ arising from normal variations of a hypersurface M immersed into the (n+1)-dimensional simply connected space form $\mathbb{N}^{n+1}(c)$ of constant sectional curvature $c \in \{1, 0, -1\}$ where S_{r+1} is the (r+1)-th elementary symmetric function of the principal curvatures k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_n . Recall that the elementary symmetric function of the principal curvatures are given by

$$S_0 = 1, \quad S_r = \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_r} k_{i_1} \cdots k_{i_r}, \quad 1 \le r \le n.$$
 (4)

Letting $A = -(\overline{\nabla}\eta)$ be the shape operator of M, where $\overline{\nabla}$ is the Levi-Civita connection of $\mathbb{N}^{n+1}(c)$ and η a globally defined unit vector field normal to M, we can recursively define smooth symmetric sections $P_r: M \to \operatorname{End}(TM)$, for $r = 0, 1, \ldots, n$, called the Newton operators, setting $P_0 = I$ and $P_r = S_r Id - A P_{r-1}$ so that $P_r(x): T_x M \to T_x M$ is a self-adjoint linear operator with the same eigenvectors as the shape operator A. The operator L_r is the second order self-adjoint differential operator

$$L_{P_r}(f) = \operatorname{div}\left(P_r \operatorname{grad} f\right) \tag{5}$$

associated to the section P_r . However, the sections P_r may be not positive definite and then the operators L_r may not be elliptic, see [22]. However, there are geometric hypothesis that imply the ellipticity of L_r , see [9], [18], [4]. Here we will not impose geometric conditions to guarantee ellipticity of the L_r , except in corollary (3.5). Instead we will ask the ellipticity on the set of hypothesis in the following way. It is known, see [17], that there is an open and dense subset $U \subset M$ where the ordered eigenvalues $\{\mu_1^r(x) \leq \ldots \leq \mu_n^r(x)\}$ of $P_r(x)$ depend smoothly on $x \in U$ and continuously on $x \in M$. In addition, the respective eigenvectors $\{e_1(x), \ldots, e_n(x)\}$ form a smooth orthonormal frame in a neighborhood of every point of U. Set $\nu(P_r) = \sup_{x \in M} \{\mu_n^r(x)\}$ and $\mu(P_r) = \inf_{x \in M} \{\mu_1^r(x)\}$. Observe that if $\mu(P_r) > 0$ then P_r is positive definite, thus L_r is elliptic.

We need the following definition of locally bounded (r+1)-th mean curvature hypersurface in order to state our next result.

Definition 3.1 An oriented immersed hypersurface $\varphi: M \hookrightarrow N$ of a Riemannian manifold N is said to have locally bounded (r+1)-th mean curvature H_{r+1} if for any $p \in N$ and R > 0, the number $h_{r+1}(p,R) = \sup\{|S_{r+1}(x)| = a(n,r+1) \cdot |H_{r+1}(x)| ; x \in \varphi(M) \cap B_N(p,R)\}$ is finite. Here $B_N(p,R) \subset N$ is the geodesic ball of radius R and center $p \in N$.

Our next result generalizes in some aspects the main application of [6]. There the first and fourth authors give lower bounds for \triangle -fundamental tone of domains in submanifolds with locally bounded mean curvature in complete Riemannian manifolds.

Theorem 3.2 Let $\varphi: M \hookrightarrow \mathbb{N}^{n+1}(c)$ be an oriented hypersurface immersed with locally bounded (r+1)-th mean curvature H_{r+1} for some $r \leq n-1$ and with $\mu(P_r) > 0$. Let $B_{\mathbb{N}^{n+1}(c)}(p,R)$ be the geodesic ball centered at $p \in \mathbb{N}^{n+1}(c)$ with radius R and $\Omega \subset \varphi^{-1}(\overline{B_{\mathbb{N}^{n+1}(c)}(p,R)})$ be a connected component. Then the L_r -fundamental tone $\lambda^{L_r}(\Omega)$ of Ω has the following lower bounds.

i. For
$$c = 1$$
 and $0 < R < \cot^{-1}\left[\frac{(r+1) \cdot h_{r+1}(p,R)}{(n-r) \cdot \inf_{\Omega} S_r}\right]$ we have that
$$\lambda^{L_r}(\Omega) \ge 2 \cdot \frac{1}{R} \left[(n-r) \cdot \cot[R] \cdot \inf_{\Omega} S_r - (r+1) \cdot h_{r+1}(p,R) \right]. \tag{6}$$

ii. For $c \leq 0$, $h_{r+1}(p,R) \neq 0$ and $0 < R < \frac{(n-r) \cdot \inf_{\Omega} S_r}{(r+1) \cdot h_{r+1}(p,R)}$ we have that

$$\lambda^{L_r}(\Omega) \ge 2 \cdot \frac{1}{R^2} \left[(n-r) \cdot \inf_{\Omega} S_r - (r+1) \cdot R \cdot h_{r+1}(p,R) \right]. \tag{7}$$

iii. If $c \le 0$, $h_{r+1}(p,R) = 0$ and R > 0 we have that

$$\lambda^{L_r}(\Omega) \ge \frac{2(n-r)\inf_{\Omega} S_r}{R^2} \tag{8}$$

Definition 3.3 Let $\varphi: M \hookrightarrow N$ be an isometric immersion of a closed Riemannian manifold into a complete Riemannian manifold N. For each $x \in N$, let $r(x) = \sup_{y \in M} dist_N(x, \varphi(y))$. The extrinsic radius $R_e(M)$ of M is defined by

$$R_e(M) = \inf_{x \in N} r(x).$$

Moreover, there is a point $x_0 \in N$ called the barycenter of $\varphi(M)$ in N such that $R_e(M) = r(x_0)$.

Corollary 3.4 Let $\varphi: M \hookrightarrow B_{\mathbb{N}^{n+1}(c)}(R) \subset \mathbb{N}^{n+1}(c)$ be a complete oriented hypersurface with bounded (r+1)-th mean curvature H_{r+1} for some $r \leq n-1$, R chosen as in Theorem (3.2). Suppose that $\mu(P_r) > 0$ so that the L_r operator is elliptic. Then M is not closed.

Corollary 3.5 Let $\varphi: M \hookrightarrow \mathbb{N}^{n+1}(c)^1$, $c \in \{1, 0, -1\}$ be an oriented closed hypersurface with $H_{r+1} > 0$. Then there is an explicit constant $\Lambda_r = \Lambda_r(c, \inf_M S_r, \sup_M S_{r+1}) > 0$ such that the extrinsic radius $R_e(M) \geq \Lambda_r$.

i. For
$$c = 1$$
, $\Lambda_r = \cot^{-1} \left[\frac{(r+1) \cdot \sup_M S_{r+1}}{(n-r) \cdot \inf_{\Omega} S_r} \right]$.

ii. For
$$c \in \{0, -1\}$$
, $\Lambda_r = \frac{(n-r) \cdot \inf_{\Omega} S_r}{(r+1) \cdot \sup_{\Omega} S_{r+1}}$.

If c=1 suppose that $\mathbb{N}^{n+1}(c)$ is the open hemisphere of \mathbb{S}^{n+1}_+ .

Remark 3.6 The hypothesis H_{r+1} implies that $H_j > 0$ and L_j are elliptic for j = 0, 1, ... r, see [4], [9] or [18]. Thus in fact in fact have that $R_e \ge \max\{\Lambda_0, \dots, \Lambda_r\}$.

Remark 3.7 Jorge and Xavier, (Theorem 1 of [16]), proved the inequalities of Corollary (3.5) when r = 0 for complete submanifolds with scalar curvature bounded from below contained in a compact ball of a complete Riemannian manifold. Moreover, for c = -1 their inequality is slightly better. These inequalities should be also compared with a related result proved by Fontenele-Silva in [12].

Corollary 3.8 Let $\varphi: M \hookrightarrow \mathbb{S}^{n+1}(1)$, be an oriented closed hypersurface with $\mu_1^r(M) > 0$ and $H_{r+1} = 0$. Then the extrinsic radius $R_e(M) \geq \pi/2$.

Remark 3.9 An interesting question is: Is it true that any closed oriented hypersurface with $\mu_1^r(M) > 0$ and $H_{r+1} = 0$ intersect every great circle? For r = 0 it is true and it was proved by T. Frankel [13].

We now consider immersed hypersurfaces $\varphi: M \hookrightarrow \mathbb{N}^{n+1}(c)$ with L_r and L_s elliptic. We can compare the L_r and L_s fundamental tones of a domain $\Omega \subset M$. In particular we can compare with its L_0 -fundamental tone.

Theorem 3.10 Let $\varphi: M \hookrightarrow \mathbb{N}^{n+1}(c)$ be an oriented n-dimensional hypersurface M immersed into the (n+1)-dimensional simply connected space form of constant sectional curvature c and $\mu(L_r) > 0$ and $\mu(L_s) > 0$, $0 \le s, r \le n-1$. Let $\Omega \subset M$ be a domain with compact closure and piecewise smooth non-empty boundary. Then the L_r and L_s fundamental tones satisfies the following inequalities

$$\lambda^{L_r}(\Omega) \ge \frac{\mu(P_r)}{\nu(P_s)} \cdot \lambda^{L_s}(\Omega) \tag{9}$$

Where $\lambda^{L_s}(\Omega)$ and $\lambda^{L_r}(\Omega)$ are respectively the first L_s -eigenvalue and L_r -eigenvalue of Ω . From (9) we have in particular that

$$\nu(r) \cdot \lambda^{\triangle}(\Omega) \ge \lambda^{L_r}(\Omega) \ge \mu(r) \cdot \lambda^{\triangle}(\Omega) \tag{10}$$

3.1 Closed eigenvalue problem

Let M be a closed hypersurface of a simply connected space form $\mathbb{N}^{n+1}(c)$. Similarly to the eigenvalue problem of closed Riemannian manifolds, the interesting problem is what bounds can one obtain for the first nonzero L_r -eigenvalue $\lambda_1^{L_r}(M)$ in terms of the geometries of M and of the ambient space. Upper bounds for the first nonzero Δ -eigenvalue or even for the first nonzero L_r -eigenvalue, $r \geq 1$ have been obtained by many authors in contrast with lower bounds that are rare. For instance, Reilly [23] extending earlier result of Bleecker and Weiner [8] obtained upper bounds for $\lambda_1^{\Delta}(M)$ of a closed submanifold M of \mathbb{R}^m in terms of the total mean curvature of M. Reilly's result applied to compact submanifolds of the sphere $M \subset \mathbb{S}^{m+1}(1)$, this later viewed as a hypersurface of the Euclidean space $\mathbb{S}^{m+1}(1) \subset \mathbb{R}^{m+2}$ obtains upper bounds for

 $\lambda_1^{\triangle}(M)$, see [2]. Heintze,[15] extended Reilly's result to compact manifolds and Hadamard manifolds \overline{M} . In particular for the hyperbolic space \mathbb{H}^{n+1} . The best upper bounds for the first nonzero \triangle -eigenvalue of closed hypersurfaces M of \mathbb{H}^{n+1} in terms of the total mean curvature of M was obtained by El Soufi and Ilias [25]. Regarding the L_r operators, Alencar, Do Carmo, and Rosenberg [2] obtained sharp (extrinsic) upper bound the first nonzero eigenvalue $\lambda_1^{L_r}(M)$ of the linearized operator L_r of compact hypersurfaces M of \mathbb{R}^{m+1} with $S_{r+1} > 0$. Upper bounds for $\lambda_1^{L_r}(M)$ of compact hypersurfaces of \mathbb{S}^{n+1} , \mathbb{H}^{n+1} under the hypothesis that L_r is elliptic were obtained by Alencar, Do Carmo, Marques in [1] and by Alias and Malacarne in [3] see also the work of Veeravalli [27]. On the other hand, lower bounds for $\lambda_1^{L_r}(M)$ of closed hypersurfaces $M \subset \mathbb{N}^{n+1}(c)$ are not so well studied as the upper bounds, except for r=0in which case $L_0 = \Delta$. In this paper we make a simple observation (Theorem 3.11) that to obtain lower and upper bounds for the L_{Φ} -eigenvalues (Dirichlet or Closed eigenvalue problem) it is enough to obtain lower and upper bounds for the eigenvalues of Φ and for the eigenvalues for the Laplacian in the respective problem. When applied to the L_r operators (supposing them elliptic) we obtain lower bounds for closed hypersurfaces of the space forms via Cheeger's lower bounds for the first \triangle -eigenvalue of closed manifolds. Let $\{\mu_1(x) \leq \ldots \leq \mu_n(x)\}$ be the ordered eigenvalues of $\Phi(x)$. Setting $\nu(\Phi) = \sup_{x \in \Omega} \{\mu_n(x)\}$ and $\mu(\Phi) = \inf_{x \in \Omega} \{\mu_1(x)\}$ we have the following theorem.

Theorem 3.11 Let $\lambda^{L_{\Phi}}(\Omega)$ denote the L_{Φ} -fundamental tone of Ω if Ω is unbounded or $\partial\Omega \neq \emptyset$ and the first nonzero L_{Φ} -eigenvalue $\lambda_1^{L_{\Phi}}(\Omega)$ if Ω is a closed manifold. Then $\lambda^{L_{\Phi}}(\Omega)$ satisfies the following inequalities,

$$\nu(\Phi, \Omega) \cdot \lambda^{\triangle}(\Omega) \ge \lambda^{L_{\Phi}}(\Omega) \ge \mu(\Phi, \Omega) \cdot \lambda^{\triangle}(\Omega), \tag{11}$$

where $\lambda^{\triangle}(\Omega)$ is the \triangle -fundamental tone of Ω or the first nonzero \triangle -eigenvalue of Ω .

Let M be a closed n-dimensional Riemannian manifold, Cheeger in [10] defined the following constant given by

$$h(M) = \inf_{S} \frac{vol_{n-1}(S)}{\min\{vol_n(\Omega_1), vol_n(\Omega_2)\}},\tag{12}$$

where $S \subset M$ ranges over all connected closed hypersurfaces dividing M in two connected components, i.e. $M = \Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2$, $\Omega_1 \cap \Omega_2 = \emptyset$ such that $S = \partial \Omega_1 = \partial \Omega_2$ and he proved that the first nonzero Δ -eigenvalue $\lambda_1^{\Delta}(M) \geq h(M)^2/4$.

Corollary 3.12 Let $\varphi: M \hookrightarrow \mathbb{N}^{n+1}(c)$, $c \in \{1, 0, -1\}^2$ be an oriented closed hypersurface with $H_{r+1} > 0$. Then the first nonzero L_r -eigenvalue of M has the following lower bound

$$\lambda_1^{L_r}(M) \ge \mu(L_r) \cdot \frac{h^2(M)}{4}$$

²If c=1 suppose that $\mathbb{N}^{n+1}(c)$ is the open hemisphere of \mathbb{S}^{n+1}_+ .

4 Proof of the Results

4.1 Proof of Theorem 2.1

Let Ω be an arbitrary domain, X be a smooth vector field on Ω and $f \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$. The vector field $f^2\Phi X$ has compact support supp $(f^2\Phi X) \subset \text{supp}(f) \subset \Omega$. Let \mathcal{S} be a regular domain containing the support of f. We have by the divergence theorem that

$$0 = \int_{\mathcal{S}} \operatorname{div} (f^{2} \Phi X) = \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div} (f^{2} \Phi X)$$

$$= \int_{\Omega} \left[\langle \operatorname{grad} f^{2}, \Phi X \rangle + f^{2} \operatorname{div} (\Phi X) \right]$$

$$\geq -2 \int_{\Omega} \left[|f| \cdot |\Phi^{1/2} \operatorname{grad} f| \cdot |\Phi^{1/2} X| + \operatorname{div} (\Phi X) \cdot f^{2} \right]$$

$$\geq \int_{\Omega} \left[-|\Phi^{1/2} \operatorname{grad} f|^{2} - f^{2} \cdot |\Phi^{1/2} X|^{2} + \operatorname{div} (\Phi X) \cdot f^{2} \right].$$

$$(13)$$

Therefore

$$\int_{\Omega} |\Phi^{1/2} \operatorname{grad} f|^{2} \geq \int_{\Omega} \left[\operatorname{div}(\Phi X) - |\Phi^{1/2} X|^{2}\right] f^{2}$$

$$\geq \inf \left[\operatorname{div}(\Phi X) - |\Phi^{1/2} X|^{2}\right] \int_{\Omega} f^{2} \tag{14}$$

By the variational formulation (1) of $\lambda^{L_r}(\Omega)$ this inequality above implies that

$$\lambda^{L_r}(\Omega) \ge \inf_{\Omega} \left[\operatorname{div} \left(\Phi X \right) - |\Phi^{1/2} X|^2 \right]. \tag{15}$$

When Ω is a bounded domain with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega \neq \emptyset$ then $\lambda^{L_r}(\Omega) = \lambda_1^{L_r}(\Omega)$. This proof above shows that $\lambda_1^{L_r}(M) \geq \inf_M \left[\operatorname{div}(\Phi X) - |\Phi^{1/2}X|^2\right]$. Let $v \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C^0(\overline{\Omega})$ be a positive first L_r -eigenfunction³ of Ω and if we set $X_0 = -\operatorname{grad} \log(v)$ we have that

$$\operatorname{div}(\Phi X_{0}) - |\Phi^{1/2} X_{0}|^{2} = -\operatorname{div}((1/v) \Phi \operatorname{grad} v) - (1/v^{2}) |\Phi^{1/2} \operatorname{grad} v|^{2}$$

$$= (1/v^{2}) \langle \operatorname{grad} v, \Phi \operatorname{grad} v \rangle - (1/v) \operatorname{div}(\Phi \operatorname{grad} v)$$

$$- (1/v^{2}) |\Phi^{1/2} \operatorname{grad} v|^{2}$$

$$= - (1/v) \operatorname{div}(\Phi \operatorname{grad} v) = -L_{r}(v)/v = \lambda_{1}^{L}(\Omega).$$
(16)

This proves (3).

 $^{^3}v \in C^2(\Omega) \cap H_1^0(\Omega)$ if $\partial\Omega$ is not smooth.

4.2 Proof of Theorem 3.2 and Corollaries 3.4, 3.5, 3.8

We start this section stating few lemmas necessary to construct the proof of Theorem (3.2). The first lemma was proved in [19] for the Laplace operator and for the L_r operator in [20] and [21]. We reproduce its proof to make the exposition complete.

Lemma 4.1 Let $\varphi: M \hookrightarrow \mathbb{N}^{n+1}(c)$ be a complete hypersurface immersed in (n+1)-dimensional simply connected space form $\mathbb{N}^{n+1}(c)$ of constant sectional curvature c. Let $g: \mathbb{N}^{n+1}(c) \to \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function and set $f = g \circ \varphi$. Identify $X \in T_pM$ with $d\varphi(p)X \in T_{\varphi(p)}\varphi(M)$ then we have that

$$L_r f(p) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i^r \operatorname{Hess} g(\varphi(p)) (e_i, e_i) + \operatorname{Trace} (AP_r) \langle \operatorname{grad} g, \eta \rangle$$
 (17)

Proof: Each P_r is also associated to a second order self-adjoint differential operator defined by $\Box f = \text{Trace}(P_r \text{Hess}(f))$ see [11], [14]. We have that

$$\Box f = \operatorname{Trace} (P_r \operatorname{Hess} (f)) = \operatorname{div} (P_r \operatorname{grad} f) - \operatorname{trace} (\nabla P_r) \operatorname{grad} f. \tag{18}$$

Rosenberg [24] proved that when the ambient manifold is the simply connected space form $\mathbb{N}^{n+1}(c)$ then $\operatorname{Trace}(\nabla P_r)$ grad $\equiv 0$, see also [22]. Therefore $L_r f = \operatorname{Trace}(P_r \operatorname{Hess}(f))$. Using Gauss equation to compute $\operatorname{Hess}(f)$ we obtain

$$\operatorname{Hess} f(p)(X,Y) = \operatorname{Hess} g(\varphi(p))(X,Y) + \langle \operatorname{grad} g, \alpha(X,Y) \rangle_{\varphi(p)}, \tag{19}$$

where $\langle \alpha(X,Y), \eta \rangle = \langle A(X), Y \rangle$. Let $\{e_i\}$ be an orthonormal frame around p that diagonalize the section P_r so that $P_r(x)(e_i) = \mu_i^r(x)e_i$. Thus

$$L_r f = \sum_{i=1}^n \langle P_r \operatorname{Hess} f(e_i), e_i \rangle$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n \langle \operatorname{Hess} f(e_i), \mu_i^r e_i \rangle$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i^r \operatorname{Hess} f(e_i, e_i)$$
(20)

Substituting (19) into (20) we have that

$$L_r f = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i^r \operatorname{Hess} g(e_i, e_i) + \langle \operatorname{grad} g, \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i^r \alpha(e_i, e_i) \rangle$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i^r \operatorname{Hess} g(e_i, e_i) + \langle \operatorname{grad} g, \alpha(\sum_{i=1}^n P_r(e_i), e_i) \rangle$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i^r \operatorname{Hess} g(e_i, e_i) + \operatorname{Trace} (AP_r) \langle \operatorname{grad} g, \eta \rangle$$
(21)

Here $\operatorname{Hess} f(X) = \nabla_X \operatorname{grad} f$ and $\operatorname{Hess} f(X,Y) = \langle \nabla_X \operatorname{grad} f, Y \rangle$. The next two lemmas we are gong to present are well known and their proofs are easily found in the literature thus we will omit them here.

Lemma 4.2 (Hessian Comparison Theorem) Let M be a complete Riemannian manifold and $x_0, x_1 \in M$. Let $\gamma : [0, \rho(x_1)] \to M$ be a minimizing geodesic joining x_0 and x_1 where $\rho(x)$ is the distance function $dist_M(x_0, x)$. Let K be the sectional curvatures of M and $v(\rho)$, defined below.

$$\upsilon(\rho) = \begin{cases}
k_1 \cdot \coth(k_1 \cdot \rho(x)), & if \quad \sup_{\gamma} K = -k_1^2 \\
\frac{1}{\rho(x)}, & if \quad \sup_{\gamma} K = 0 \\
k_1 \cdot \cot(k_1 \cdot \rho(x)), & if \quad \sup_{\gamma} K = k_1^2 \text{ and } \rho < \pi/2k_1.
\end{cases} \tag{22}$$

Let $X = X^{\perp} + X^{T} \in T_{x}M$, $X^{T} = \langle X, \gamma' \rangle \gamma'$ and $\langle X^{\perp}, \gamma' \rangle = 0$. Then

$$Hess \,\rho(x)(X,X) = Hess \,\rho(x)(X^{\perp},X^{\perp}) \ge v(\rho(x)) \cdot \|X^{\perp}\|^2 \tag{23}$$

See [26] for a proof.

Lemma 4.3 Let $p \in M$ and $1 \le r \le n-1$, let $\{e_i\}$ be an orthonormal basis of T_pM such that $P_r(e_i) = \mu_i^r e_i$ and $A(e_i) = k_i e_i$. Then

i. trace
$$(P_r) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu_i^r = (n-r)S_r$$

ii. trace
$$(AP_r) = \sum_{i=1}^n k_i \mu_i^r = (r+1)S_{r+1}$$

In particular, if the Newton operator P_r is positive definite then $S_r > 0$.

To prove Theorem (3.2) set $g: B(p,R) \subset \mathbb{N}^{n+1}(c) \to \mathbb{R}$ given by $g = R^2 - \rho^2$, where ρ is the distance function $(\rho(x) = \operatorname{dist}(x,p))$ of $\mathbb{N}^{n+1}(c)$. Setting $f = g \circ \varphi$ we obtain by (17) that

$$L_r f = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i^r \cdot \operatorname{Hess} g(e_i, e_i) + (r+1) \cdot S_{r+1} \cdot \langle \operatorname{grad} g, \eta \rangle, \tag{24}$$

since Trace $(AP_r) = (r+1) \cdot S_{r+1}$. Letting X = -grad log f we have by Theorem (2.1) that

$$\lambda^{L_r}(\Omega) \ge \inf_{\Omega} \left(-L_r f/f \right) = \inf_{\Omega} \left\{ -\frac{1}{g} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i^r \cdot \operatorname{Hess} g\left(e_i, e_i\right) + (r+1) \cdot S_{r+1} \cdot \langle \operatorname{grad} g, \eta \rangle \right] \right\}. \tag{25}$$

Computing the Hessian of g we have that

$$\operatorname{Hess} g(e_i, e_i) = \langle \nabla_{e_i} \operatorname{grad} g, e_i \rangle = -2 \langle \nabla_{e_i} \rho \operatorname{grad} \rho, e_i \rangle$$

$$= -2 \langle \operatorname{grad} \rho, e_i \rangle^2 - 2\rho \langle \nabla_{e_i} \operatorname{grad} \rho, e_i \rangle$$

$$= -2 \langle \operatorname{grad} \rho, e_i \rangle^2 - 2\rho \operatorname{Hess} \rho(e_i, e_i).$$
(26)

Therefore we have that

$$-\frac{L_r f}{f} = \frac{2}{R^2 - \rho^2} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i^r \left[\langle \operatorname{grad} \rho, e_i \rangle^2 + \rho \operatorname{Hess} \rho(e_i, e_i) \right] + (r+1) \cdot S_{r+1} \cdot \rho \cdot \langle \operatorname{grad} \rho, \eta \rangle \right]$$
(27)

Setting $e_i^T = \langle \operatorname{grad} \rho, e_i \rangle \operatorname{grad} \rho$ and $e_i^{\perp} = e_i - e_i^T$, by the Hessian Comparison Theorem we have that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu_{i}^{r} [\langle \operatorname{grad} \rho, e_{i} \rangle^{2} + \rho \operatorname{Hess} \rho(e_{i}, e_{i})] \ge \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu_{i}^{r} [\|e_{i}^{T}\|^{2} + \rho \cdot \upsilon(\rho) \|e_{i}^{\perp}\|^{2}]$$
 (28)

and

$$(r+1) \cdot S_{r+1} \cdot \rho \cdot \langle \operatorname{grad} \rho, \eta \rangle \le (r+1) R \cdot h_{r+1}(p, R)$$
(29)

From (28) and (29) wee have that

$$\lambda^{1}(\Omega) \geq \inf_{\Omega} \left(-L_{r} f / f \right)$$

$$\geq 2 \cdot \inf_{\Omega} \left\{ \frac{1}{R^{2} - \rho^{2}} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu_{i}^{r} \left[\|e_{i}^{T}\|^{2} + \rho \cdot \upsilon(\rho) \|e_{i}^{\perp}\|^{2} \right] - (r+1) \cdot R \cdot h_{r+1}(p, R) \right] \right\} (30)$$

If $c \leq 0$ then $\rho \cdot v(\rho) \geq 1$ thus from (30) we have that

$$\lambda^{1}(\Omega) \geq 2 \cdot \frac{1}{R^{2}} \left[\inf_{\Omega} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu_{i}^{r} \left[\|e_{i}^{T}\|^{2} + \|e_{i}^{\perp}\|^{2} \right] \right\} - (r+1) \cdot R \cdot h_{r+1}(p,R) \right]$$

$$= 2 \cdot \frac{1}{R^{2}} \left[\inf_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu_{i}^{r} - (r+1) \cdot R \cdot h_{r+1}(p,R) \right]$$

$$= 2 \cdot \frac{1}{R^{2}} \left[(n-r) \inf_{\Omega} S_{r} - (r+1) \cdot R \cdot h_{r+1}(p,R) \right].$$
(31)

If c > 0 then $\rho \cdot \upsilon(\rho) = \rho \cdot \sqrt{c} \cdot \cot[\sqrt{c} \rho] \le 1$ thus from (30) we have that

$$\lambda^{1}(\Omega) \geq 2 \cdot \frac{1}{R^{2}} \left[\inf_{\Omega} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu_{i}^{r} \left[\|e_{i}^{T}\|^{2} + \|e_{i}^{\perp}\|^{2} \right] \rho \cdot \sqrt{c} \cdot \cot[\sqrt{c} \rho] \right\} - (r+1) \cdot R \cdot h_{r+1}(p,R) \right]$$

$$= 2 \cdot \frac{1}{R^{2}} \left[\inf_{\Omega} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu_{i}^{r} \rho \sqrt{c} \cot[\sqrt{c} \rho] \right\} - (r+1) \cdot R \cdot h_{r+1}(p,R) \right]$$

$$= 2 \cdot \frac{1}{R^{2}} \left[(n-r) \cdot R \cdot \sqrt{c} \cdot \cot[\sqrt{c} R] \cdot \inf_{\Omega} S_{r} - (r+1) \cdot R \cdot h_{r+1}(p,R) \right].$$

$$(32)$$

To prove the Corollaries (3.4) and (3.5), observe that the hypotheses $\mu(P_r)(M) > 0$ (in Corollary 3.4) and $H_{r+1} > 0$ (in Corollary 3.5) imply that the L_r is elliptic. If the immersion is bounded (contained in a ball of radius R, for those choices of R) and M is closed we would have by one hand that the L_r -fundamental tone would be zero and by Theorem (3.2) that it would

be positive. Then M can not be closed if the immersion is bounded. On the other hand if M is closed a ball of radius R centered at the barycenter of M could not contain M because the fundamental tone estimates for any connected component $\Omega \subset \varphi^{-1}(\varphi(M) \cap B_{\mathbb{N}^{n+1}(c)}(p,R))$ is positive. Showing that $M \neq \Omega$. The corollary (3.8) follows from item i. of Theorem (3.2) placing $S_{r+1} = 0$.

4.3 Proof of Theorem 3.10

Let $\varphi: W \hookrightarrow \mathbb{N}^{n+1}(c)$ be an isometric immersion of an oriented n-dimensional Riemannian manifold W into a (n+1)-dimensional simply connected space form of sectional curvature c. Let $M \subset W$ be a domain with compact closure and piecewise smooth nonempty boundary and suppose that the Newton operators P_r and P_s , $0 \le s$, $r \le n-1$ are positive definite when restricted to M. Let $\mu(r) = \mu(P_r, M)$, $\mu(s) = \mu(P_s, M)$ and $\nu(r) = \nu(P_r, M)$, $\nu(s) = \nu(P_s, M)$. Given a vector field X on M we can find a vector field Y on M such that $P_rX = \kappa \cdot P_sY$, κ constant. Now

$$\operatorname{div}(P_{r}X) - |P_{r}^{1/2}X|^{2} = \kappa \cdot \operatorname{div}(P_{s}Y) - \langle P_{r}X, X \rangle$$

$$= \kappa \cdot \operatorname{div}(P_{s}Y) - \kappa^{2} \langle P_{s}Y, P_{r}^{-1}P_{s}Y \rangle$$

$$= \kappa \cdot \left[\operatorname{div}(P_{s}Y) - |P_{s}^{1/2}Y|^{2} + |P_{s}^{1/2}Y|^{2} - \kappa \cdot |P_{r}^{-1/2}P_{s}Y|^{2}\right]$$
(33)

Consider $\{e_i\}$ be an orthonormal basis such that $P_r e_i = \mu_i^r e_i$ and $P_s e_i = \mu_i^s e_i$. Letting $Y = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i e_i$ then

$$|P_{s}^{1/2}Y|^{2} - \kappa \cdot |P_{r}^{-1/2}P_{s}Y|^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu_{i}^{s} y_{i}^{2} - \kappa \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{(\mu_{i}^{s})^{2}}{\mu_{i}^{r}} y_{i}^{2}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu_{i}^{s} y_{i}^{2} \left[1 - \kappa \cdot \frac{\mu_{i}^{s}}{\mu_{i}^{r}} \right]$$

$$\geq 0, \quad if \quad \kappa \leq \frac{\mu(r)}{\nu(s)}$$
(34)

Combining (33) with (34) and by Theorem (2.1) we have that

$$\lambda^{L_r}(M) = \sup_{X} \inf_{M} \operatorname{div}(P_r X) - |P_r^{1/2} X|^2 \ge \kappa \cdot \sup_{Y} \inf_{M} \operatorname{div}(P_s Y) - |P_s^{1/2} Y|^2 = \kappa \cdot \lambda^{L_s}(M), \quad (35)$$

for every $0 < \kappa \le \frac{\mu(r)}{\nu(s)}$. This proves (9).

4.4 Proof of Theorem 3.11

Recall that for any smooth symmetric section $\Phi: \Omega \to \operatorname{End}(T\Omega)$ there is an open and dense subset $U \subset \Omega$ where the ordered eigenvalues $\{\mu_1(x) \leq \ldots \leq \mu_n(x)\}$ of $\Phi(x)$ depend smoothly on $x \in U$ and continuously in all Ω . In addition, the respective eigenvectors $\{e_1(x), \ldots, e_n(x)\}$ form

a smooth orthonormal frame in a neighborhood of every point of U, see [17]. Let $f \in C_0^2(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$ $(f \in C^2(\Omega))$ with $\int_{\Omega} f = 0$ be an admissible function for (the closed L_{Φ} -eigenvalue problem if Ω is a closed manifold) the Dirichlet L_{Φ} -eigenvalue problem. It is clear that f is an admissible function for the respective Δ -eigenvalue problem. Writing grad $f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n e_i(f)e_i(x)$ we have that

$$|\Phi^{1/2} \operatorname{grad} f|^{2}(x) = \langle \Phi \operatorname{grad} f, \operatorname{grad} f \rangle(x)$$

$$= \langle \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu_{i}(x) e_{i}(f) e_{i}, \sum_{i=1}^{n} e_{i}(f) e_{i} \rangle$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu_{i}(x) e_{i}(f)^{2}(x).$$
(36)

From (36) we have that

$$\nu(\Phi, M) \cdot |\operatorname{grad} f|^{2}(x) \ge |\Phi^{1/2} \operatorname{grad} f|^{2}(x) \ge \mu(\Phi, M) \cdot |\operatorname{grad} f|^{2}(x) \tag{37}$$

and

$$\nu(\Phi, M) \cdot \frac{\int_{M} |\operatorname{grad} f|^{2}}{\int_{M} f^{2}} \ge \frac{\int_{M} |\Phi^{1/2} \operatorname{grad} f|^{2}}{\int_{M} f^{2}} \ge \mu(\Phi, M) \cdot \frac{\int_{M} |\operatorname{grad} f|^{2}}{\int_{M} f^{2}}$$
(38)

Taking the infimum over all admissible functions in (38) we obtain (11).

References

- [1] H. Alencar, M. do Carmo & F. C. Marques, Upper bounds for the first eigenvalue of the operator Lr and some applications. Illinois J. of Math., v.45, n.3, 851-863, (2001).
- [2] H. Alencar, M. do Carmo & H. Rosenberg, On the first eigenvalue of the linearized operator of the r-th mean curvature of a hypersurface. Ann. Global Anal. Geom., v.11, 387-395, (1993).
- [3] L. Alias & M. Malacarne, Sharp upper bounds for the first positive eigenvalue of the linearized operator of the higher order mean curvature of closed hypersurfaces into a Riemannian space form. Illinois J. Math 48, nº 1, 219-240, (2004).
- [4] J. L. Barbosa, & A. G. Colares, Stability of hypersurfaces with constant r-mean curvature. Ann. Global Anal. Geom. v.15, 277-297, (1997).
- [5] J. Barta, Sur la vibration fundamentale d'une membrane. C. R. Acad. Sci. 204, 1937, 472-473.
- [6] G. Pacelli Bessa & J. Fábio Montenegro, Eigenvalue estimates for submanifolds with locally bounded mean curvature. Ann. Global Anal. Geom. v.24, n.3, 279-290, (2003).

- [7] G. Pacelli Bessa & J. Fábio Montenegro, An Extension of Barta's Theorem and Geometric Applications. ArchivX Paper Id: math.DG/0308099.
- [8] D. Bleecker & J. Weiner, Extrinsic bounds for λ_1 of \triangle on a compact manifold. Comment. Math. Helv. 51, 601-609, (1976).
- [9] L. Caffarelli, L. Nirenberg & J. Spruck, The Dirichlet problem for nonlinear second order elliptic equations III. Functions of the eigenvalues of the Hessian. Acta Math. v.155, n.3, 261-301, (1985).
- [10] J. Cheeger, A lower bound for the smallest eigenvalue of the Laplacian. Problems in Analysis, pp. 195-199. Princenton Univ. Press, Princenton, New Jersey, 1970.
- [11] S. Y. Cheng & S. T. Yau, Hypersurfaces with Constant Scalar Curvature. Math. Ann. 225, 195-204 (1977).
- [12] F. Fontenele, S. Silva, A tangency principle and applications. Illinois J. of Math. 45, 213-228, (2001).
- [13] T. Frankel, On the fundamental group of a compact minimal submanifold. Ann. of Math. 83 68-73, (1966)
- [14] P. Hartmann, On complete hypersurfaces of nonnegative sectional curvatures and constant m'th mean curvature. Trans. AMS 245 (1978).
- [15] E. Heintze, Extrinsic upper bound for λ_1 . Math. Ann. 280, 389-402 (1988).
- [16] L. P. Jorge & F. Xavier, An inequality between the exterior diameter and the mean curvature of bounded immersions. Math. Z. 178, 77-82 (1981).
- [17] T. Kato, *Perturbation Theory for Linear Operators*. Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften 132, Springer-Verlag, 1976.
- [18] N. Korevaar, Sphere theorems via Alexandrov for constant Weingarten curvature hypersurfaces. Appendix to a Note of A. Ros. J. Diff. geom. 27, 221-223, (1988).
- [19] L. P. Jorge, & D. Koutrofiotis, An estimate for the curvature of bounded submanifolds. American Journal of Mathematics, 103, n⁰4., 711-725 (1980).
- [20] B. Pessoa Lima, Omori-Yau Maximum Principle for the Operator L_r and its Applications, Doctoral Thesis, Universidade Federal do Ceará-UFC (2000)
- [21] B. Pessoa Lima, Omori-Yau Maximum Principle for the Operator L_r and its Applications preprint.
- [22] R. Reilly, Variational properties of functions of the mean curvatures for hypersurfaces in space forms. J. Differential Geom. 8, 465-477, (1973).

- [23] R. Reilly, On the first eigenvalue of the Laplacian for compact submanifolds of the Euclidean space. Comment. Math. Helv. 52, 525-533, (1977).
- [24] H. Rosenberg, Hypersurface of constant curvatures in space forms. Bull. Sc. Math., 117, 211-239 (1993).
- [25] A. El Soufi & S. Ilias, Une inegalite du type "Reilly" pour les sous-varietes de l'espace hyperbolique. Comm. Math. Helv. 67, 167-181 (1992).
- [26] R. Schoen, & S. T. Yau, Lectures on Differential Geometry. Conference Proceedings and Lecture Notes in Geometry and Topology, vol. 1, (1994).
- [27] A. Veeravali, On the first Laplacian eigenvalue and the center of gravity of compact hypersurfaces. Comment. Math. Helv. 76, 155-160, (2001).